

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/516,664	05/19/2005	Jean-Pierre Lacroix	GSQZ 2 00069	8773		
27885 75	27885 7590 11/29/2006			EXAMINER		
	E, FAGAN, MINNICH	DOUGLAS, STEVEN O				
1100 SUPERIO CLEVELAND,	R AVENUE, SEVENTH OH 44114	ART UNIT	PÅPER NUMBER			
,			3751			
	•		DATE MAIL ED: 11/20/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				M					
Office Action Summary		Application No. Applicant(s)							
		10/516,664		LACROIX, JEAN-PIERRE					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Steven O. Do	- ,	3751					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the co	ver sheet with the co	orrespondence addr	ess				
WHI0 - Exte after - If N0 - Faile Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DEPOSITION OF	DATE OF THIS .136(a). In no event, t I will apply and will ex te, cause the applicati	COMMUNICATION nowever, may a reply be tim pire SIX (6) MONTHS from to no to become ABANDONED	. lely filed the mailing date of this comi (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 h	May 2005.							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3) 🗌	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayl	e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application	n.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) 1,2,6,7 and 10-13 is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) 3-5,8 and 9 is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requ	irement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PTO	ı-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
* 1	application from the International Burea	•	• • • •	۵	•				
,	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified	copies not receive	a.					
Attachmer	nt(s)								
1) 🛭 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	☐ Interview Summary						
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa						
	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>11292004</u> .	6)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2,6,7 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jansen'859.

The Jansen'859 reference discloses an apparatus that fills a container 23 (that appears to be a flexible pouch) with a liquid, and which is connected to a refilling infuser 8. There is an air inlet 7, apparent attaching means for the pouch (note Fig. 3) holding it in a position with the inlet upwards and at a position at the distal end of the filling infuser. There is a supporting means 9 for a supply container 1 containing the liquid for introduction into container 23. The supporting means is adapted for positioning the supply container where the liquid will flow out by gravity. There is a source of compressed gas (see col. 3, lines 43-44) and a connecting means 20 (see Fig. 3) that connects the compressed gas source with the air inlet of the refilling infuser 8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jansen'859 in view of Johnson'760.

The Jansen reference discloses a dispensing apparatus including a broadly disclosed source of compressed gas (supra), but does not disclose the gas source as including a manually compressible squeeze bulb or a pedal actuated flexible bladder. The Johnson'760 reference discloses another dispensing apparatus having a compressed gas source that is actuated a manual pedal 54 that compresses a bellows or bulb 34. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute a compressed gas source as, for example, shown by the Johnson reference for the broadly disclosed gas source of Jansen wherein so doing amounts to the mere substitution of one type of compressed gas source for another that would work equally well.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jansen.

The Jansen reference discloses a filling apparatus (supra), but does not disclose at least two filling apparatuses. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have at least two filling apparatuses, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Hood, Choksi et al., and Ueda reference pertain to infusion bag systems.

Art Unit: 3751

Claims 3-5,8 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven O. Douglas whose telephone number is (571) 272-4885. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on (571) 272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Steven O. Douglas Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

SD

11-15-06